IPv6 Tutorial

ICANN Sao Paulo December, 2006

Jordi Palet (jordi.palet@consulintel.es)



Why a New IP?

Only *compelling* reason: more addresses!

- for billions of new devices,
 - e.g., cell phones, PDAs, appliances, cars, etc.
- for billions of new users,
 - e.g., in China, India, etc.
- for "always-on" access technologies,
 e.g., xDSL, cable, ethernet-to-the-home, etc.



But Isn't There Still Lots of IPv4 Address Space Left?

- ~ Half the IPv4 space is unallocated
 - if size of Internet is doubling each year, does this mean only one year's worth?!
- No, because today we deny unique IPv4 addresses to most new hosts
 - we make them use methods like NAT, PPP, etc. to share addresses
- But new types of applications and new types of access need unique addresses!



Why Are NAT's Not Adequate?

- They won't work for large numbers of "servers", i.e., devices that are "called" by others (e.g., IP phones)
- They inhibit deployment of new applications and services
- They compromise the performance, robustness, security, and manageability of the Internet



Incidental Benefits of Bigger Addresses

- Easy address auto-configuration
- Easier address management/delegation
- Room for more levels of hierarchy, for route aggregation
- Ability to do end-to-end IPsec (because NATs not needed)



Incidental Benefits of New Deployment

- Chance to eliminate some complexity, e.g., in IP header
- Chance to upgrade functionality, e.g., multicast, QoS, mobility
- Chance to include new enabling features, e.g., binding updates



Summary of Main IPv6 Benefits

- Expanded addressing capabilities
- Server-less autoconfiguration ("plug-n-play") and reconfiguration
- More efficient and robust mobility mechanisms
- Built-in, strong IP-layer encryption and authentication
- Streamlined header format and flow identification
- Improved support for options / extensions



Why Was 128 Bits Chosen as the IPv6 Address Size?

Some wanted fixed-length, 64-bit addresses

 – easily good for 10¹² sites, 10¹⁵ nodes, at .0001 allocation efficiency (3 orders of mag. more than IPng requirement)

minimizes growth of per-packet header overhead

efficient for software processing

- Some wanted variable-length, up to 160 bits
 - compatible with OSI NSAP addressing plans
 - big enough for autoconfiguration using IEEE 802 addresses
 - could start with addresses shorter than 64 bits & grow later
- Settled on fixed-length, 128-bit addresses

- (340,282,366,920,938,463,463,374,607,431,768,211,456 in all!)



What Ever Happened to IPv5?

0–3 unassigned

7

8

9

10-15

- 4 IPv4 (today's widespread version of IP)
- 5 ST (Stream Protocol, not a new IP)
- 6 IPv6 (formerly SIP, SIPP)
 - CATNIP (formerly IPv7, TP/IX; deprecated)
 - (deprecated)
 - TUBA (deprecated)

PIP

unassigned



IPv6 Tutorial

Header Formats





- Internet Protocol, Version 6: Specification
- Changes from IPv4 to IPv6:
 - Expanded Addressing Capabilities
 - Header Format Simplification
 - Improved Support for Extensions and Options
 - Flow Labeling Capability
 - Authentication and Privacy Capabilities



IPv4 Header Format

• 20 Bytes + Options



Modified Field	
Deleted Field	



IPv6 Header Format

• From 12 to 8 Fields (40 bytes)



- Avoid checksum redundancy
- Fragmentation end to end



Summary of Header Changes

- 40 bytes
- Address increased from 32 to 128 bits
- Fragmentation and options fields removed from base header
- Header checksum removed
- Header length is only payload (because fixed length header)
- New Flow Label field
- TOS -> Traffic Class
- Protocol -> Next Header (extension headers)
- Time To Live -> Hop Limit
- Alignment changed to 64 bits



Extension Headers

• "Next Header" Field



Extension Headers Goodies

- Processed Only by Destination Node
 - Exception: Hop-by-Hop Options Header
- No more "40 byte limit" on options (IPv4)
- Extension Headers defined currently:
 - Hop-by-Hop Options
 - Routing
 - Fragment
 - Authentication (RFC 2402, next header = 51)
 - Encapsulating Security Payload (RFC 2406, next header = 50)
 - Destination Options





IPv6 Tutorial

Addressing and Routing



Text Representation of Addresses

"Preferred" form: Compressed form:

IPv4-compatible:

1080:0:FF:0:8:800:200C:417A FF01:0:0:0:0:0:0:43 becomes FF01::43 0:0:0:0:0:0:13.1.68.3 or ::13.1.68.3

URL:

http://[FF01::43]/index.html



Address Types

Unicast (one-to-one)

- global
- link-local
- site-local (deprecated)
- Unique Local (ULA)
- IPv4-compatible

Multicast (one-to-many) Anycast (one-to-nearest) Reserved



Address Type Prefixes

address type IPv4-compatible Global unicast Link-local unicast Site-local unicast ULA Multicast

binary prefix 0000...0 (96 zero bits) 001 1111 1110 10 1111 1110 11 (deprecated) 1111 110x (1= Locally assigned) 1111 1111

- All other prefixes reserved (approx. 7/8ths of total)
- Anycast addresses allocated from unicast prefixes



Aggregatable Global Unicast Addresses (RFC2374) (Deprecated)





Global Unicast Addresses (RFC3587)

001 Glob. Rout. prefi	x subnet ID	Interface ID
Global Routing Prefix (45 bits)	× × Sub-network ID (16 bits)	Interface ID (64 bits)

 The global routing prefix is a value assigned to a zone (site, a set of subnetworks/links)

 It has been designed as an hierarchical structure from the Global Routing perspective

- The subnetwork ID, identifies a subnetwork within a site

 Has been designed to be an hierarchical structure from the site administrator perspective
- The Interface ID is build following the EUI-64 format



Global Unicast Addresses in Production Networks

001 Glob. Rout. prefix	subnet ID	Interface ID	
Global Routing Prefix (45 bits)	× × Sub-network ID (16 bits)	Interface ID (64 bits)	

- LIRs receive by default /32
 - Production addresses today are from prefixes 2001, 2003, 2400, 2800, etc.
 - Can request for more if justified
- /48 used only within the LIR network, with some exceptions for critical infrastructures
- /48 to /128 is delegated to end users
 - Recommendations following RFC3177 and current policies
 - /48 general case, /47 if justified for bigger networks
 - /64 if only and only one network is required
 - /128 if it is sure that only and only one device is going to be connected



Global Unicast Addresses for the 6Bone Until 06/06/06 !



- 6Bone: experimental IPv6 network used for testing only
- TLA 1FFE (hex) assigned to the 6Bone
 - thus, 6Bone addresses start with 3FFE:
 - (binary 001 + 1 1111 1111 1110)
- Next 12 bits hold a "pseudo-TLA" (pTLA)
 - thus, each 6Bone pseudo-ISP gets a /28 prefix
- Not to be used for production IPv6 service



Link-Local & Site-Local Unicast Addresses

Link-local addresses for use during autoconfiguration and when no routers are present:

1111110100	interface ID

Site-local addresses for independence from changes of TLA / NLA* (deprecated !):

Unique Local IPv6 Unicast Addresses IPv6 ULA (RFC4193)

- Globally unique prefix with high probability of uniqueness
- Intended for local communications, usually inside a site
- They are not expected to be routable on the Global Internet
- They are routable inside of a more limited area such as a site
- They may also be routed between a limited set of sites
- Locally-Assigned Local addresses
 - vs Centrally-Assigned Local addresses



IPv6 ULA Characteristics

- Well-known prefix to allow for easy filtering at site boundaries
- ISP independent and can be used for communications inside of a site without having any permanent or intermittent Internet connectivity
- If accidentally leaked outside of a site via routing or DNS, there is no conflict with any other addresses
- In practice, applications may treat these addresses like global scoped addresses



IPv6 ULA Format

• Format:



- FC00::/7 Prefix identifies the Local IPv6 unicast addresses
- L = 1 if the prefix is locally assigned
- L = 0 may be defined in the future
- ULA are created using a pseudo-randomly allocated global ID
 - This ensures that there is not any relationship between allocations and clarifies that these prefixes are not intended to be routed globally



Centrally Assigned Unique Local IPv6 Unicast Addresses (1)

- Centrally-Assigned Local addresses

 vs Locally-Assigned Local addresses
- Latest Draft:
 - draft-ietf-ipv6-ula-central-01.txt
 - February 2005
 - No longer active
 - It defines the characteristics and requirements for Centrally assigned Local IPv6 addresses in the framework defined in IPv6 ULA – RFC4193



Centrally Assigned Unique Local IPv6 Unicast Addresses (2)

- The major difference between both assignments:
 - the Centrally-Assigned is uniquely assigned and the assignments can be escrowed to resolve any disputes regarding duplicate assignments
- It is recommended that sites planning to use Local IPv6 addresses use a centrally assigned prefix as there is no possibility of assignment conflicts. Sites are free to choose either approach
- The allocation procedure for creating global-IDs for centrally assigned local IPv6 addresses is setting L=0. Remember that the allocation procedure for locally assigned local IPv6 addresses is thru L=1, as is defined in RFC4193





The lowest-order 64-bit field of unicast addresses may be assigned in several different ways:

- auto-configured from a 48-bit MAC address (e.g., Ethernet address), expanded into a 64-bit EUI-64
- assigned via DHCP
- manually configured
- auto-generated pseudo-random number (to counter some privacy concerns)
- possibly other methods in the future





48 bits	48 bits	16 bits	
Ethernet Destination Address	Ethernet Source Address	1000011011011101 (86DD)	IPv6 Header and Data









Some Special-Purpose Unicast Addresses

 The unspecified address, used as a placeholder when no address is available:

0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0

The loopback address, for sending packets to self:

0:0:0:0:0:0:0:1



Multicast Addresses



Routing

- Uses same "longest-prefix match" routing as IPv4 CIDR
- Straightforward changes to existing IPv4 routing protocols to handle bigger addresses
 - -unicast: OSPF, RIP-II, IS-IS, BGP4+, ...

-multicast: MOSPF, PIM, ...

Can use Routing header with anycast addresses
 to route packets through particular regions

-e.g., for provider selection, policy, performance, etc.



IPv6 Tutorial

Mobility



IPv6 Mobility

- A mobile host has one or more home address(es)

 relatively stable; associated with host name in DNS
- When it discovers it is in a foreign subnet (i.e., not its home subnet), it acquires a foreign address
 - uses auto-configuration to get the address
 - registers the foreign address with a home agent,
 i.e, a router on its home subnet
- Packets sent to the mobile's home address(es) are intercepted by home agent and forwarded to the foreign address, using encapsulation







IPv6 Tutorial

IPv4-IPv6 Coexistence & Transition



Transition / Co-Existence Techniques

A wide range of techniques have been identified and implemented, basically falling into three categories:

- (1) dual-stack techniques, to allow IPv4 and IPv6 to coexist in the same devices and networks
- (2) tunneling techniques, to avoid order dependencies when upgrading hosts, routers, or regions
- (3) translation techniques, to allow IPv6-only devices to communicate with IPv4-only devices

Expect all of these to be used, in combination



Dual-Stack Approach

- When adding IPv6 to a system, do not delete IPv4
 - this multi-protocol approach is familiar and well-understood (e.g., for AppleTalk, IPX, etc.)
 - note: in most cases, IPv6 will be bundled with new OS releases, not an extra-cost add-on
- Applications (or libraries) choose IP version to use
 - when initiating, based on DNS response:
 - if (destination has AAAA record) use IPv6, else use IPv4
 - when responding, based on version of initiating packet
- This allows indefinite co-existence of IPv4 and IPv6, and gradual app-by-app upgrades to IPv6 usage
- A6 record as experimental



Tunnels to Get Through IPv6-Ignorant Routers

- Encapsulate IPv6 packets inside IPv4 packets (or MPLS frames)
- Many methods exist for establishing tunnels:
 - manual configuration
 - "tunnel brokers" (using web-based service to create a tunnel)
 - "6-over-4" (intra-domain, using IPv4 multicast as virtual LAN)
 - "6-to-4" (inter-domain, using IPv4 addr as IPv6 site prefix)
- Can view this as:
 - IPv6 using IPv4 as a virtual link-layer, or
 - an IPv6 VPN (virtual public network), over the IPv4 Internet (becoming "less virtual" over time, we hope)



Translation

- May prefer to use IPv6-IPv4 protocol translation for:
 - new kinds of Internet devices (e.g., cell phones, cars, appliances)
 - benefits of shedding IPv4 stack (e.g., serverless autoconfig)
- This is a simple extension to NAT techniques, to translate header format as well as addresses
 - IPv6 nodes behind a translator get full IPv6 functionality when talking to other IPv6 nodes located anywhere
 - they get the normal (i.e., degraded) NAT functionality when talking to IPv4 devices
 - methods used to improve NAT functionality (e.g, RSIP) can be used equally to improve IPv6-IPv4 functionality



Thanks !

Contact:

– Jordi Palet Martínez (Consulintel): jordi.palet@consulintel.es

The IPv6 Portal:

• http://www.ipv6tf.org



IPv6 as Innovation Opportunity for Developing Countries

- Easy to deploy IPv6 in new infrastructures
- Lack of applications which take advantage of IPv6

 Software development is not expensive, actually becomes cheaper with IPv6
 Easy to be done in developing countries

