Article | OPEN

The tiger genome and comparative analysis with lion and snow leopard genomes

Yun Sung Cho[1], Li Hu[2], Haolong Hou[2], Hang Lee[3], Jiaohui Xu[2], Soowhan Kwon[4], Sukhun Oh[4], Hak-Min Kim[1], Sungwoong Jho[1], Sangsoo Kim[5], Young-Ah Shin[1], Byung Chul Kim[1, 6], Hyunmin Kim[6], Chang-uk Kim[1], Shu-Jin Luo[7], Warren E. Johnson[8], Klaus-Peter Koepfli[9], Anne Schmidt-Küntzel[10], Jason A. Turner[11], Laurie Marker[12], Cindy Harper[13], Susan M. Miller[13, 14], Wilhelm Jacobs[15], Laura D. Bertola[16], Tae Hyung Kim[6], Sunghoon Lee[1, 6], Qian Zhou[2], Hyun-Ju Jung[6], Xiao Xu[7], Priyvrat Gadhvi[1], Pengwei Xu[2], Yingqi Xiong[2], Yadan Luo[2], Shengkai Pan[2], Caiyun Gou[2], Xiuhui Chu[2], Jilin Zhang[2], Sanyang Liu[2], Jing He[2], Ying Chen[2], Linfeng Yang[2], Yulan Yang[2], Jiaju He[2], Sha Liu[2], Junyi Wang[2], Chul Hong Kim[6], Hwanjong Kwak[6], Jong-Soo Kim[1], Seungwoo Hwang[17], Junsu Ko[6], Chang-Bae Kim[18], Sangtae Kim[19], Damdin Bayarlkhagva[20], Woon Kee Paek[21], Seong-Jin Kim[6, 22], Stephen J. O'Brien ✉[9, 23], Jun Wang ✉[2, 24, 25] & Jong Bhak ✉[1, 6, 26, 27]

Nature Communications **4**, Article number: 2433 (2013)
doi:10.1038/ncomms3433
Download Citation

Comparative genomics Evolutionary biology

Received: 02 May 2013
Accepted: 13 August 2013
Published online: 17 September 2013

Abstract

Tigers and their close relatives (*Panthera*) are some of the world's most endangered species. Here we report the *de novo* assembly of an Amur tiger whole-genome sequence as well as the genomic sequences of a white Bengal tiger, African lion, white African lion and snow leopard. Through comparative genetic analyses of these genomes, we find genetic signatures that may reflect molecular adaptations consistent with the big cats' hypercarnivorous diet and muscle strength. We report a snow leopard-specific genetic determinant in *EGLN1* (Met39>Lys39), which is likely to be associated with adaptation to high altitude. We also detect a *TYR*260G>A

mutation likely responsible for the white lion coat colour. Tiger and cat genomes show similar repeat composition and an appreciably conserved synteny. Genomic data from the five big cats provide an invaluable resource for resolving easily identifiable phenotypes evident in very close, but distinct, species.

Introduction

The tiger (*Panthera tigris*), the largest felid species on Earth and a widely recognized symbol for wildlife conservation[1], is one of the world's most endangered species. Tigers are a keystone species and natural indicators of the health of the ecological communities in which they are found[2]. The current estimates of wild tigers range from just 3,050 to 3,950 individuals. It is postulated that without conservation measures tigers will soon become extinct in the wild, thus turning the preservation of existing wild tiger populations into a major goal of conservation efforts[3,4]. Tigers comprise of nine genetically validated subspecies[1,5,6]. Four of these went extinct in the wild during the last century (Javan, Balinese, South China and Caspian tigers), leaving five extant subspecies (Amur, Bengal, Indochinese, Malayan and Sumatran tigers)[5]. The Amur tiger (*Panthera tigris altaica*) is the largest in overall size and the only subspecies inhabiting snow-covered regions.

Previous genetic studies using mitochondrial and nuclear loci have helped to elucidate the phylogeography and population genetics of tigers[1,5,6,7], and the low coverage genome (1.8 ×) of the domestic cat (*Felis catus*) has provided insights into felid evolution[8,9]. However, no whole-genome reference sequence has been reported for the tiger, or any of the *Panthera* species, thus limiting current understanding of genetic diversity and demography[10,11,12].

We report the first tiger genome sequence assembly and annotation as well as a comparative analysis of the lion (*Panthera leo*) and snow leopard (*Panthera uncia*) genomes. We describe genotypic variation and genotype association analyses with species-specific phenotypes and adaptation. *Panthera* whole-genome sequences provide valuable information on genome

organization, evolutionary divergence and overall endemic diversity.

Results

The Amur tiger genome

The DNA of a 9-year-old male Amur tiger from Everland Zoo in Korea was sequenced by Illumina HiSeq2000 (Supplementary Fig. S1, Supplementary Tables S1–S3). Sequence reads were assembled using SOAPdenovo[13] into scaffolds (2.4 Gb in length) having an N50 length of 8.84 Mb (contig N50 length of 29.8 kb; Table 1, Supplementary Figs S2–S4, Supplementary Tables S4 and S5, Methods). Assembly quality was assessed by aligning the assembled tiger blood transcripts and cat EST sequences onto the tiger scaffolds (>96% coverage and 98.9% mapping rate, respectively), and heterozygous single nucleotide variants (SNVs) were validated by the Sanger method (Supplementary Tables S6–S9, Supplementary Methods). Additionally, analysis of the tiger draft genome assembly for core eukaryotic genes[14] revealed homologues for >93.4% of conserved genes in the assembly (Supplementary Table S10). The tiger genome sequence shows 95.6% similarity to the domestic cat (Supplementary Table S11) from which it diverged approximately 10.8 million years ago (MYA)[15]; human and gorilla have 94.8% similarity and diverged around 8.8 MYA (from TimeTree). This high similarity allowed us to improve the assembly of the tiger genome by using the recently completed high coverage (12 × coverage) domestic cat genome (Supplementary Fig. S5, Supplementary Tables S12–S17, Supplementary Methods). For comparative genomic analysis of big cats, we also sequenced four other *Panthera* genomes (Table 1, Supplementary Tables S1 and S18): a white Bengal tiger (*Panthera tigris tigris*), an African lion, a white African lion and a snow leopard; their genome sequences were aligned with the domestic cat and tiger genomes.

Table 1: Global statistics of the *Panthera* genomes.

Adaptation of the big cats

The assembled Amur tiger genome was predicted to contain 20,226 protein-coding genes (Supplementary Tables S19–S23, Supplementary Methods) and 2,935 non-coding RNAs (Supplementary Table S24, Supplementary Methods). To create a detailed annotation of the tiger proteome, gene clusters were constructed using seven mammalian genomes (tiger, cat, human, dog, mouse, giant panda and opossum). The tiger proteome contained 14,954 orthologous gene families. Among these, 14,425 orthologous gene families were shared by all seven genomes, whereas 103 orthologous gene families were exclusively shared by the tiger and cat (Fig. 1a, Supplementary Fig. S6, Supplementary Table S25). The Felidae-specific gene families contained 287 InterPro domains (Supplementary Tables S26–S29). Based on the comparison of orthologous gene families among seven mammalian species, the Amur tiger genome displays 381 expanded and 1,790 contracted gene families compared with the feline common ancestor (Fig. 1b, Supplementary Figs S7 and S8). The tiger genome is particularly enriched in olfactory receptor activity (GO:0004984, P=5.75 × 10^{-185}, ChiSquare test followed by a Fisher's exact test, 289 genes), G-protein coupled receptor signalling pathway (GO:0007186, P=2.98 × 10^{-106}, 302 genes), signal transducer activity (GO:0004871, P=2.25 × 10^{-74}, 295 genes), amino-acid transport (GO:0006865, P=3.09 × 10^{-10}, 16 genes) and protein metabolic process (GO:0019538, P=5.72 × 10^{-10}, 220 genes) (Supplementary Fig. S9, Supplementary Table S30). In most cats, smell has an important role in social behaviour such as territory ownership and mating, while vision and hearing are important for hunting[16].

Figure 1: Relationship of the tiger to other mammalian species.



(**a**) Orthologous gene clusters in mammalian species. The Venn diagram shows the number of unique and shared gene families among seven mammalian genomes. (**b**) Gene expansion or contraction in the tiger genome. Numbers designate the number of gene families that have expanded (green, +) and contracted (red, −) after the split from the common ancestor. The most recent common ancestor (MRCA) has 17,841 gene families. The time lines indicate divergence times among the species.

Additionally, we investigated *Panthera* lineage-specific amino-acid changes by comparison with the known genes from the human, dog and mouse. A total of 3,646 genes had amino-acid changes specific to big cats (Amur tiger, white tiger, snow leopard, African lion and white lion; Supplementary Tables S31 and S32), and 5,882 genes had amino-acid changes unique to the felid lineage (big cats plus domestic cat). Among these, 1,376 genes had big cat-specific amino-acid changes that were found to be protein functional changes according to computational predictions (PolyPhen2[17]). Metabolism pathways associated with protein and fatty acid, which are important sources of energy, were enriched with genes having *Panthera*-specific functional changes; histidine metabolism (P=0.00024, Fisher's exact test, six genes), beta-alanine metabolism (P=0.00078, six genes), phenylalanine metabolism (P=0.014, three genes), valine, leucine and isoleucine degradation (P=0.035, six genes), cysteine and methionine metabolism (P=0.037, four genes), fatty acid metabolism (P=0.00038, eight genes) and fat digestion and absorption (P=0.025, five genes) (Supplementary Tables S33 and S34). These signals of

amino-acid metabolism have been associated with an obligatory carnivorous diet[18].

In order to detect tiger genes evolving under positive selection, we used the conserved genome synteny methodology[19] (between human and other mammalian species (cat, dog, mouse and panda)) and a branch-site likelihood ratio test (Methods). A total of 178 positively selected genes out of 7,415 high-quality ortholog genes were identified in the Amur tiger (Supplementary Table S35, Supplementary Data 1). Functional categories for positively selected genes were over-represented in muscle filament sliding (GO:0030049, P=0.0049, Fisher's exact test, *MYH7*, *TPM4* and *TNNC2*), filamentous actin (GO:0031941, P=0.0062, *TPM4* and *MYO1A*) and stress fibre (GO:0001725, P=0.0039, *MYH7*, *TPM4* and *ACTN4*) (Supplementary Table S36, Supplementary Data 2). We also identified GO categories, which are evolving under significantly high constraints[19] (Supplementary Tables S37–S39, Supplementary Methods). Significantly altered *Ka*/*Ks* ratios of non-synonymous to synonymous substitutions for GO categories (P<0.01, Binomial test) revealed evidence of rapid evolution in the tiger for muscle strength (muscle contraction and actin cytoskeleton), energy metabolism (GTPase activity, ATP binding and energy reserve metabolic process), and sensory nerves (G-protein coupled receptor activity, olfactory receptor activity, visual perception and nervous system development) (Supplementary Tables S40–S43).

Genetic landscape of the snow leopard and white lion

In addition to the Amur tiger data, we used sequence data from the four other big cats to investigate the genetic basis of several unique physiological or phenotypic traits. Snow leopards generally live in alpine areas, 3,350–6,700 m above sea level, in Central Asia[20]. Recent genome-wide association studies implicated two human loci *EGLN1* (Egl nine homologue 1) and *EPAS1* (endothelial PAS domain-containing protein 1) as mediating high-altitude adaptation[21]. We examined mutational substitutions in mammalian *EGLN1* and *EPAS1* genes and found that the snow leopard had unique amino-acid changes in both genes that were not found in other mammalian species. Although *EGLN1* is highly conserved in mammals, Met39 (non-polar), instead of Lys39 (positively charged), was found in the snow leopard (Fig. 2a, Supplementary Fig. S10, Supplementary Table S44), indicating a significant change in charge that might alter protein function. This Met39 residue was replicated as a genetically fixed

substitution in 14 additional snow leopards, whereas the ancestral Lys39 was monomorphic in a sampling of 28 individuals of *Panthera* and *Neofelis* (clouded leopard) (Supplementary Table S45, Supplementary Methods). Naked mole rats have also adapted to hypoxia[22] in a different manner by having unique amino-acid changes in different positions of *EGLN1* (Pro15, Arg17 and Arg36). Ile663 and Arg794 in *EPAS1* are two additional snow leopard-specific changes (Supplementary Fig. S11), and Arg794 was predicted to confer a functional change on the protein. Taken together, these *EGLN1* and possibly *EPAS1* variants are provocative candidates that may have contributed to the snow leopard's acquisition of an alpine, high altitude ecological niche.

Figure 2: *EGLN1* and *TYR* mutations related to hypoxia in snow leopard and white fur in white lion.



(**a**) Alignment of mammalian *EGLN1* amino-acid sequences. Amino acids unique to the snow leopard (216th residue in human *EGLN1*), naked mole rat and rodents are shown in red, grey and blue, respectively. The number of individuals genotyped in this study is listed in parentheses. (**b**) Alignment of mammalian *TYR* sequences. Amino-acid sequences unique to the white lion (87th residue in human *TYR*) are shown in red, and tawny lion having heterozygous allele (G/A) are shown in grey; X represents amino acid of R/Q. The numbers in parentheses are number of individuals. 'w' denotes white type and 'wt' denotes wild type.

Tyrosinase (*TYR*) mutational variants cause white coat colour in the domestic cat[23], and *TYR* mutations are related to human oculocutaneous albinism 1 (refs 24, 25). The genetic basis of the white tiger with white fur and dark stripes is revealed as an amino-acid change (A477V) in the transporter protein SLC45A2 (ref. 26). Therefore, we examined the pigment-associated gene mutation in the white lion and found a unique nucleotide (*TYR*260G>A) and corresponding amino-acid change in the white lion (Fig. 2b, Supplementary Fig. S12), causing the positively charged Arg87, located in the central domain of *TYR*, to be changed to uncharged Gln87. We verified the proposed candidate mutation (*TYR*260G>A) underlying the amino-acid change

(R87Q) in the *TYR* gene sequence of 47 lions: 17 of white phenotype and 30 of wild phenotype, of which 11 were known to be carriers (heterozygotes) based on their pedigree, 14 of unknown genetic makeup, and 5 of wild phenotype from control populations where no white lions were found (Supplementary Tables S46–S48, Supplementary Methods). The concordance between the expected and observed genotype was 100% for the candidate mutation *TYR*260G>A. A second non-synonymous mutation (*TYR*176C>T), observed in a subset of animals, did not correlate with the expected genotype, and animals with this variant did not appear to be phenotypically different.

Genomic comparison between the tiger and other mammals

Although repeat characteristics can vary considerably among closely related species[27], the tiger and cat genomes showed very similar repeat compositions (39.3% versus 39.2%, respectively), as well as ratios of repeat components, including tandem repeats and transposable elements (Supplementary Fig. S13), suggesting a similar genome architecture between domestic cats and tigers. By contrast, for the great apes, the ratio of repeat components was considerably different between species, especially between human and orangutan, which diverged about 12 MYA[28]. Additionally, we estimated the evolutionarily conserved sequences (77 Mb, 3.2%), segmental duplication (11.2 Mb, 0.47%) and lineage-specific insertions and deletions in the tiger genome (Supplementary Tables S49–S52, Supplementary Methods).

To detect genome-wide structural differences, we aligned the tiger scaffolds to the cat genome using dog genome as a reference after masking repeats. A total of 571 of the 674 tiger scaffolds (length >20 kb, 99.6% of the total scaffold length) were aligned with the cat genome sequence, and as high as 98.8% of gene-coding regions and 98.3% (2.38 Gb) of conserved synteny blocks were shared by the tiger and cat genomes. We detected a rather high level of genomic synteny, containing six breaks with large-size chromosomal segmental rearrangement between the tiger and cat genomes (Fig. 3, Supplementary Fig. S14, Supplementary Tables S53–S56, Methods). These consisted of two inter- and four intra-chromosomal rearrangements. Divergence in genomic structure among closely related species is considered as a major factor underlying species diversification, as gene flow requires recombination in collinear chromosomes, and the reduction in recombination associated with chromosomal rearrangements results in a partial

reproductive barrier[29]. These structural variations may be one of the important factors underlying species diversification among the felines.

Figure 3: Synteny blocks between tiger and cat genomes.



Domestic cat chromosomes are shown as grey bars (in Mb scales). The other six color bars (in Mb scales) are tiger scaffolds with syntenic break between tiger and cat (2 inter- and 4 intra-chromosomal rearrangements). The tiger and cat rearrangements were detected using dog genome as an out-group.

The level of within-species genetic diversity, as measured by the rate of heterozygous SNVs, of the tiger (0.00049–0.00073) and lion (0.00048–0.00058) genomes was found to be similar to

that of human (0.00066) (Supplementary Table S57, Supplementary Methods). Interestingly, diversity of the snow leopard genome was nearly half that of the other *Panthera* species and slightly lower than that of the Tasmanian devil[30], which is purported to display a low level of genetic diversity (Fig. 4a). We also estimated the occurrence of a marked bottleneck around the last glacial maximum 20 kyr ago (7–70 kyr) using a pairwise sequentially Markovian coalescent (PSMC)[31] model inference of tiger demographic history based on SNV distribution (Fig. 4b, Supplementary Figs S15–S18, Supplementary Tables S58 and S59, Methods). A similar bottleneck was estimated a bit earlier (72–108 kyr) based on mitochondrial DNA coalescence[1]. White lion (0.00048) and domestic cat (0.00012) have both undergone multiple rounds of close inbreeding during breed development and therefore would display lower SNV diversity bias as a consequence. Therefore, we investigated the genetic diversity of *Panthera* using the rate of heterozygous SNVs and confirmed that the genetic diversity within a single individual coincided with that deduced from mitochondrial sequences of several individuals[30].

Figure 4: Genetic diversity and population size history in *Panthera* species.



(**a**) Rate of heterozygous SNVs in *Panthera* species. The heterozygous SNVs rates (*y* axis) were calculated by dividing the total number of heterozygous SNVs by genome size. Individuals that are white colored in nature (white tiger and white lion) are shown in grey. Tigers, lions, cat, gorilla, giant panda, chimpanzee and naked mole rat are captive bred. Snow leopard, orang-utans and Tasmanian devil are wild caught individuals. (**b**) Estimated big cat population sizes and climate history from 2.5 kyr BP to 3 Myr BP. Tsuf, atmospheric surface air temperature; RSL, relative sea level; 10 m.s.l.e., 10 m sea level equivalent; TG, Amur tiger; LN, African lion;

SL, snow leopard; WTG, white tiger; WLN, white African lion. ‘F’ after the species abbreviation means the data were generated from comparison with Felis_catus-6.2 as a reference genome in SNV calling.

Discussion

The Amur tiger genome is the first reference genome sequenced from the *Panthera* lineage and the second from the Felidae species. For comparative genomic analyses of big cats, we additionally sequenced four other *Panthera* genomes and tried to predict possible big cats’ molecular adaptations consistent with the obligatory meat eating and muscle strength of the predatory *Panthera* lineage. The tiger and cat genomes showed unexpectedly similar repeat compositions and high genomic synteny, and these indicated strong genomic conservation in Felidae. These results could be supported by the recency of the 37 species-Felidae radiation (<11 MYA)[15] and well-known hybridizations in captivity among subspecies in Felidae lineage such as liger and tigon. By contrast, the ratio of repeat components for the great apes was considerably different among species, especially between human and orang-utan[28], which diverged about the same time as felines. The breaks in synteny that we observed are likely occasional rare sporadic exchanges that accumulated over this short period (<11 MYA) of evolutionary time. The paucity of exchanges across the mammalian radiations (by contrast to more reshuffled species such as Canidae, Gibbons, Ursidae and New World monkeys) is a hallmark of evolutionary constraints.

Many whole-reference genome studies used few close species genomes that can be directly compared with the reference genome constructed. Although we did not have the resources to construct lion and leopard reference genomes, and hence were not able to show all the structural variations on the genomes, our ‘close species comparative genomics’ approach, utilizing at least one reference species, heralds a new level of genome studies. It is because those very close *Panthera* species have distinct species-specific and readily identifiable phenotypes that can be associated quickly to mutations by comparing the homologous genes of interest as shown in the

fur colouring (white lion) and high-altitude adaptation (snow leopard). If sufficiently distinct phenotypes are biologically curated, genetic mutations causing species specificity can be systematically detected using next generation sequencing. Once such candidate genetic mutations are confirmed in the set of species genomes, experimental validations can be carried out, as in the additional 47 lion samples here, for targeted genes. This genetic variation comparison using whole genomes among species and subspecies can thus provide valuable insight and information for the whole family's conservation. Our data from tigers, lions and snow leopard can provide a rich and diverse genome resource that could be used in future studies of conservation and population genomics so that the genetic underpinnings of local adaptation and potential inbreeding and/or outbreeding[10] in wild and captive populations can be illuminated and thereby help ensure the future survival of these majestic species.

Methods

Genome sequence assembly and annotation

The blood samples used for genome sequencing were acquired from the Everland Zoo of Korea (Amur tiger, white Bengal tiger, African lion and white African lion) following the Everland Zoo (Korea) ethical guidelines and procedures, and a muscle sample was obtained from a Mongolian snow leopard carcass preserved in the Conservation Genome Resource Bank for Korean Wildlife, Seoul National University. No animals were killed or captured as a result of this study. Libraries for the Amur tiger genome were constructed at BGI, Shenzhen, and the insert sizes of the libraries were 170 bp, 500 bp, 800 bp, 2 kb, 5 kb, 10 kb and 20 kb. The libraries were sequenced using HiSeq2000. Other big cat genomes were sequenced at Theragen BiO Institute (TBI), Korea, using HiSeq2000 with read and insert lengths of ~90 bp and ~400 bp, respectively.

The corrected reads were used to complete the genome assembly using SOAPdenovo[13]. First, the short insert size library (170 bp, 500 bp and 800 bp) data were used to construct a *de Bruijn* graph. Second, all reads were realigned with the contig sequences. The amount of shared paired-end relationships between pairs of contigs were calculated and weighted with the rate of

consistent and conflicting paired ends, before constructing the scaffolds step by step from the short insert size paired ends to the long distant paired ends. Third, the gaps between the constructed scaffolds were closed using the paired-end information to retrieve read pairs where one end mapped to a unique contig while the other was located in the gap region.

The Amur tiger genes were predicted using three approaches. First, *de novo* prediction was performed using the repeat-masked genome using AUGUSTUS (version 2.5.5)[32] and GENSCAN (version 1.0)[33]. Second, homologous proteins in other species were mapped to the genome using tBLASTn (Blast 2.2.23)[34] with an E-value cutoff of 1E-5. The aligned sequence and its query protein were then filtered and passed to GeneWise (version 2.2.0)[35] to search for accurately spliced alignments. Third, cat EST and full-length cDNA sequences (from UCSC) were aligned to the genome using BLAT[36] to generate spliced alignments. For EST results, spliced alignments were linked according to overlap using PASA[37]. Source evidence generated from the three approaches was integrated with GLEAN[38] to produce a consensus gene set. Then, the Amur tiger genome sequence was aligned to two well-assembled and annotated genomes (human and domestic cat) using LASTZ (version 1.02). Finally, mapped results yielding information on homologous proteins were filtered by syntenic blocks of genome sequences. We also predicted the domestic cat (Felis_catus-6.2) gene set, because the gene set of the cat genome is preliminary.

Orthologous gene families

A comparative analysis was used to examine the rate of protein evolution and the conservation of gene repertoires among orthologs in the genomes of the Amur tiger, dog, human, mouse, giant panda, domestic cat (Felis_catus-6.2) and opossum. We used the TreeFam methodology[39] to define a gene family as a group of genes that descended from a single gene in the last common ancestor of a considered species. We assigned a connection (edge) between two nodes (genes) if more than 1/3 of the region was aligned to both genes. An H-score (minimum edge weight) that ranged from 0 to 100 was used to weigh the similarity (edge). For two genes, G1 and G2, the H-score was defined as score (G1G2)/max (score (G1G1), score (G2G2)), where the score shown is the BLAST raw score. Gene families were extracted by clustering using Hcluster_sg. We used the average distance for the hierarchical clustering algorithm, requiring

the H-score to be larger than five, and the minimum edge density (total number of edges/theoretical number of edges) to be larger than 1/3. The clustering for a gene family would also stop if it already had one or more of the out-group genes.

We determined the expansion and contraction of the orthologous protein families among seven mammalian species (tiger, cat (Felis_catus-6.2), dog, human, mouse, giant panda and opossum) using CAFÉ 2.2 (ref. 40) with 0.001080 of lambda option. GO of all tiger genes was annotated by InterPro. A χ^2 test followed by a Fisher's exact test ($P \leq 0.01$) were used to test for over-represented functional categories among expanded genes and 'genome background' genes; Fisher's exact test was used when any expected value of count was below 5, which would have make the χ^2 test inaccurate[41].

Gene evolution

We investigated *Panthera* lineage-specific amino-acid changes by comparison with the known genes from the human, dog and mouse (from the Ensembl 69 release). We used lion and snow leopard gene sets by mapping reads to the tiger scaffolds and substituting SNVs. Artifacts from the multiple sequence alignment (ClustalW2[42]) limitations were removed by filtering option with ≥ 1/2 of coverage and ≥ of well-matched amino acids (consensus string is '*', ':' or '.').

To detect tiger genes evolving under positive selection, we used conserved genome synteny methodology[19] to establish a high-confidence orthologous gene set. Briefly, whole-genome multiple alignments were performed between human (hg19) and other species (cat (Felis_catus-6.2), dog (CanFam2.0), mouse (mm9) and panda (ailMel1) genomes) by the LASTZ alignment pipeline. We collected all the human protein-coding genes from RefSeq[43], KnownGene[44] and VEGA[45], and mapped them to the other species via the syntenic regions. We then filtered the resulting blocks with rigorous conditions to get large-scale synteny of high-alignment quality, and a conservation of exon–intron structure. Finally, we found 7,415 1:1 high-quality ortholog genes to analyse, most of which also correspond to genes in the panda, dog and mouse genomes. Then, we aligned ortholog genes by PRANK[46] and used the optimized branch-site model of PAML (version 4.5) and likelihood ratio tests (LRTs) ($P \leq 0.05$). A GO annotation download from Ensembl was used to assign GO categories to 7,415 orthologs. A χ^2

test followed by a Fisher's exact test ($P \leq 0.01$) were used to test for over-represented functional categories among positively selected genes; a Fisher's exact test was used when any expected value of count was below 5, which would have made the χ^2 test inaccurate[41].

We also used an approach based on *Ka*/*Ks*[47,48] to identify GO categories significantly above or below average in the tiger genome. The *Ka* and *Ks* rates are estimated by PAML from all aligned bases with a quality score >20 in orthologs, using the F3 × 4 codon frequency model and the REV substitution matrix. To determine whether the GO categories are evolving under significantly high constraints, we repeated this procedure 10,000 times on the same data set after randomly permuting the GO annotations. Then, we acquired the GO categories if the *P*-value was less than 0.05.

Chromosomal rearrangement

Among the alignment data generated from SyMAP[49], when one scaffold happened to be mapped to several physically distant cat (Felis_catus-6.2) chromosomal locations, they were considered to be inter- or intra-chromosomal rearrangement events of the Amur tiger genome relative to the cat genome. The species (tiger and domestic cat)-specific genomic rearrangements were also analysed. We performed the dog versus tiger and cat versus tiger whole-genome pair-wise alignments using LASTZ software on the repeat-masked genomes. Using these methods, we identified clusters of unique alignments with well-defined order and orientation. There was a total of 18 chromosomal rearrangement (12 inter- and 6 intra-chromosomal rearrangements) overlaps when the results from SyMAP and LASTZ were integrated by comparing syntenic break positions. As the tiger assembly was generally fragmented, we carefully validated the 18 syntenic breaks to examine the assembly integrity by aligning long insert mate-pair libraries (2 kb, 5 kb, 10 kb and 20 kb) to the tiger scaffolds. Finally, we reported six putative chromosomal rearrangements (two inter- and four intra-chromosomal rearrangements) between the tiger and cat. All six rearrangements were validated by long-range PCR experiments followed by the Sanger sequencing method.

Demographic history

The history of population size helps to develop insights into evolution. Based on the pairwise

sequentially Markovian coalescent model (PSMC)[31], we inferred detailed population size histories of Amur tiger (TG), African lion (LN), snow leopard (SL), white tiger (WTG) and white lion (WLN). Using SNV data sets scanned with all the big cat sequencing reads mapped to Felis_catus-6.2, the consensus sequences of each big cat were constructed and then divided into non-overlapping 100-bp bins marked as homozygous or heterozygous. The resultant bin sequences for their sex chromosomal parts were removed, and then they were taken as the input of the PSMC estimation. To test the estimation accuracy, bootstrapping was performed by randomly resampling 100 sequences from the original sequences. Using the neutral mutation rates, the raw PSMC outputs were scaled to time and population sizes. We obtained atmospheric surface air temperature and global relative sea level data of the past 3 million years[50].

Additional information

Accession codes: The Amur tiger whole-genome shotgun project has been deposited at DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank under the accession number ATCQ00000000. The version described in this paper is the first version, ATCQ01000000. Raw DNA and RNA sequencing reads have been submitted to the NCBI Sequence Read Archive database (SRA074975, SRA091968).

How to cite this article: Cho, Y. S. *et al.* The tiger genome and comparative analysis with lion and snow leopard genomes. *Nat. Commun.* 4:2433 doi: 10.1038/ncomms3433 (2013).

References

1. Luo, S. J. *et al.* Phylogeography and genetic ancestry of tigers (*Panthera tigris*). *PLoS Biol.* **2**, e442 (2004).

2. Smith, J. L. D., Ahern, S. C. & McDougal, C. Landscape analysis of tiger distribution and habitat quality in Nepal. *Conserv. Biol.* **12**, 1338–1346 (1998).

3. Chundawat, R. S. *et al. Panthera tigris*. In: IUCN 2012. IUCN red list of threatened species. version 2012.1 www.iucnredlist.org (2011).

4. Walston, J. *et al.* Bringing the tiger back from the brink-the six percent solution. *PLoS Biol.* **8**, e1000485 (2010).

5. Luo, S. J., Johnson, W. E. & O'Brien, S. J. Applying molecular genetic tools to tiger conservation. *Integr. Zool.* **5**, 351–362 (2010).

6. Driscoll, C. A. *et al.* Mitochondrial phylogeography illuminates the origin of the extinct Caspian tiger and its relationship to the Amur tiger. *PLoS One* **4**, e4125 (2009).

7. Kitpipit, T. & Linacre, A. The complete mitochondrial genome analysis of the tiger (*Panthera tigris*). *Mol. Biol. Rep.* **39**, 5745–5754 (2012).

8. Pontius, J. U. *et al.* Initial sequence and comparative analysis of the cat genome. *Genome Res.* **17**, 1675–1689 (2007).

9. Mullikin, J. C. *et al.* Light whole genome sequence for SNP discovery across domestic cat breeds. *BMC Genomics* **11**, 406 (2010).

10. Allendorf, F. W., Hohenlohe, P. A. & Luikart, G. Genomics and the future of conservation genetics. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **11**, 697–709 (2010).

11. Murchison, E. P. *et al.* Genome sequencing and analysis of the Tasmanian devil and its transmissible cancer. *Cell* **148**, 780–791 (2012).

12. Zhao, S. *et al.* Whole-genome sequencing of giant pandas provides insights into demographic history and local adaptation. *Nat. Genet.* **45**, 67–71 (2012).

13. Li, R. *et al.* SOAP2: an improved ultrafast tool from short read alignment. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1966–1967 (2009).

14. Parra, G., Bradnam, K. & Korf, I. CEGMA: a pipeline to accurately annotate core genes in eukaryotic genomes. *Bioinformatics* **23**, 1061–1067 (2007).

15. Johnson, W. E. *et al.* The late Miocene radiation of modern Felidae: a genetic assessment. *Science* **311**, 73–77 (2006).

16. Corbett, J. *The Temple Tiger and More Man-eaters of Kumaon* Oxford Univ. Press: London, (1954).

17. Adzhubei, I. A. *et al.* A method and server for predicting damaging missense mutations. *Nat. Methods* **7**, 248–249 (2010).

18. Irizarry, K. J. *et al.* Sequencing and comparative genomic analysis of 1227 Felis catus cDNA sequences enriched for developmental, clinical and nutritional phenotypes. *BMC Genomics* **13**, 31 (2012).

19. Qiu, Q. *et al.* The yak genome and adaptation to life at high altitude. *Nat. Genet.* **44**, 946–949 (2012).

20. Charudutt, M. *et al.* The role of incentive programs in conserving the snow leopard. *Conserv. Biol.* **17**, 1512–1520 (2003).

21. Xu, S. *et al.* A genome-wide search for signals of high-altitude adaptation in Tibetans. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **28**, 1003–1011 (2011).

22. Kim, E. B. *et al.* Genome sequencing reveals insights into physiology and longevity of the naked mole rat. *Nature* **479**, 223–227 (2011).

23. Schmidt-Küntzel, A., Eizirik, E., O'Brien, S. J. & Menotti-Raymond, M. Tyrosinase and tyrosinase related protein 1 alleles specify domestic cat coat colour phenotypes of the albino and brown loci. *J. Hered.* **96**, 289–301 (2005).

24. King, R. A. *et al.* Tyrosinase gene mutations in oculocutaneous albinism 1 (OCA1):

definition of the phenotype. *Hum. Genet.* **113**, 502–513 (2003).

25. Opitz, S., Käsmann-Kellner, B., Kaufmann, M., Schwinger, E. & Zühlke, C. Detection of 53 novel DNA variations within the tyrosinase gene and accumulation of mutations in 17 patients with albinism. *Hum. Mutat.* **23**, 630–631 (2004).

26. Xu, X. *et al.* The genetic basis of white tigers. *Curr. Biol.* **23**, 1031–1035 (2013).

27. Mayer, C., Leese, F. & Tollrian, R. Genome-wide analysis of tandem repeats in Daphnia pulex-a comparative approach. *BMC Genomics* **11**, 277 (2010).

28. Locke, D. P. *et al.* Comparative and demographic analysis of orang-utan genomes. *Nature* **469**, 529–533 (2011).

29. Zhang, J., Wang, X. & Podlaha, O. Testing the chromosomal speciation hypothesis for humans and chimpanzees. *Genome Res.* **14**, 845–851 (2004).

30. Miller, W. *et al.* Genetic diversity and population structure of the endangered marsupial *Sarcophilus harrisii* (Tasmanian devil). *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **108**, 12348–12353 (2011).

31. Li, H. & Durbin, R. Inference of human population history from individual whole-genome sequences. *Nature* **475**, 493–496 (2011).

32. Stanke, M. *et al.* AUGUSTUS: *ab initio* prediction of alternative transcripts. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **34**, W435–W439 (2006).

33. Burge, C. & Karlin, S. Prediction of complete gene structures in human genomic DNA. *J. Mol. Biol.* **268**, 78–94 (1997).

34. Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W. & Lipman, D. J. Basic local alignment search tool. *J. Mol. Biol.* **215**, 403–410 (1990).

35. Birney, E., Clamp, M. & Durbin, R. GeneWise and Genomewise. *Genome Res.* **14**, 988–995 (2004).

36. Kent, W. J. BLAT-the BLAST-like alignment tool. *Genome Res.* **12**, 656–664 (2002).

37. Haas, B. J. *et al.* Improving the *Arabidopsis* genome annotation using maximal transcript alignment assemblies. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **31**, 5654–5666 (2003).

38. Elsik, C. G. *et al.* Creating a honey bee consensus gene set. *Genome Biol.* **8**, R13 (2007).

39. Li, H. *et al.* TreeFam: a curated database of phylogenetic trees of animal gene families. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **34**, D572–D580 (2006).

40. Hahn, M. W., Demuth, J. P. & Han, S. G. Accelerated rate of gene gain and loss in primates. *Genetics* **177**, 1941–1949 (2007).

41. Chen, S. *et al. De novo* analysis of transcriptome dynamics in the migratory locust during the development of phase traits. *PLoS One* **5**, e15633 (2010).

42. Chenna, R. *et al.* Multiple sequence alignment with the Clustal series of programs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **31**, 3497–3500 (2003).

43. Pruitt, K. D., Tatusova, T. & Maglott, D. R. NCBI Reference Sequence (RefSeq): a curated non-redundant sequence database of genomes, transcripts and proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **33**, D501–D504 (2005).

44. Hsu, F. *et al.* The UCSC Known Genes. *Bioinformatics.* **22**, 1036–1046 (2006).

45. Wilming, L. G. *et al.* The vertebrate genome annotation (Vega) database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **36**, D753–D760 (2008).

46. Löytynoja, A. & Goldman, N. An algorithm for progressive multiple alignment of sequences with insertions. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **102**, 10557–10562 (2005).

47. Rhesus Macaque Genome Sequencing and Analysis Consortium. Evolutionary and biomedical insights from the rhesus macaque genome. *Science* **316**, 222–234 (2007).

48. Chimpanzee Sequencing and Analysis Consortium. Initial sequence of the chimpanzee genome and comparison with the human genome. *Nature* **437**, 69–87 (2005).

49. Soderlund, C., Bomhoff, M. & Nelson, W. M. SyMAP v3.4: a turnkey synteny system with application to plant genome. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **39**, e68 (2011).

50. Bintanja, R. & van de Wal, R. S. North American ice-sheet dynamics and the onset of 100,000-year glacial cycles. *Nature* **454**, 869–872 (2008).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Industrial Strategic Technology Development Program, 10040231, 'Bioinformatics platform development for next generation bioinformation analysis' funded by the Ministry of Knowledge Economy (MKE, Korea). This work was partly supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-2011-0019745) and grants from the Ministry of Science, ICT & Future Planning (MSIP), Republic of Korea (2012R1A1A2043851 and NRF-2008-2004707). This research was also supported in part by Russian Ministry of Science Mega-grant no.11.G34.31.0068; SJ O'Brien Principal Investigator. Scaffolds (sequence data) and gene sets are available at http://tigergenome.org. We thank Dr Rui-Qiang Li for contributing to the manuscript. We thank Maryana Bhak for editing. Authors thank many people not listed as authors who gave us feedback, samples and encouragement, especially Won-shik Min. This project was initiated with the generous support of TheragenEtex, Seong-Jin Kim and Jin-Up Goh. We thank the following people and organization for providing valuable samples, data and time for this project: Johannesburg Zoo, in particular Director Dominic Moss for pedigree information and permission to use samples, Janine Fearon and Lucia Muuhlu for laboratory work done at the Life Technologies Conservation Genetics Laboratory of the Cheetah Conservation Fund, Ukutula Lodge & Lion Centre, Global White Lion Protection Trust and

Tsau Conservancy, Bhagavan “Doc” Antle from Tiger's Preserve, Myrtle Beach, and Ouwehands Dierenpark for contributing to this project with their lion population, Dr Carlos Driscoll for making one control sample (PLE171) available to this project, and Life Technologies and Biomatters for generous support with software (Life Technologies and Geneious) and equipment (Life Technologies) of the Genetics Laboratory at the Cheetah Conservation Fund. Finally, we thank the many conservationists who have helped protect endangered big cats throughout the world.

Author information

Affiliations

Personal Genomics Institute, Genome Research Foundation, Suwon 443-270, Republic of Korea
Yun Sung Cho, Hak-Min Kim, Sungwoong Jho, Young-Ah Shin, Byung Chul Kim, Chang-uk Kim, Sunghoon Lee, Priyvrat Gadhvi, Jong-Soo Kim & Jong Bhak

BGI-Shenzhen, Shenzhen 518083, China
Li Hu, Haolong Hou, Jiaohui Xu, Qian Zhou, Pengwei Xu, Yingqi Xiong, Yadan Luo, Shengkai Pan, Caiyun Gou, Xiuhui Chu, Jilin Zhang, Sanyang Liu, Jing He, Ying Chen, Linfeng Yang, Yulan Yang, Jiaju He, Sha Liu, Junyi Wang & Jun Wang

Tiger and Leopard Conservation Fund in Korea and College of Veterinary Medicine, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Republic of Korea
Hang Lee

Samsung Everland Zoo, Yongin 449-715, Republic of Korea
Soowhan Kwon & Sukhun Oh

School of Systems Biomedical Science, Soongsil University, Seoul 156-743, Korea
Sangsoo Kim

Theragen BiO Institute, TheragenEtex, Suwon 443-270, Republic of Korea

Byung Chul Kim, Hyunmin Kim, Tae Hyung Kim, Sunghoon Lee, Hyun-Ju Jung, Chul Hong Kim, Hwanjong Kwak, Junsu Ko, Seong-Jin Kim & Jong Bhak

Peking-Tsinghua Center for Life Sciences, School of Life Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
Shu-Jin Luo & Xiao Xu

Smithsonian Conservation Biology Institute, National Zoological Park 1500 Remount Road, Front Royal, Virgina 22630, USA
Warren E. Johnson

Theodosius Dobzhansky Center for Genome Bioinformatics, St Petersburg State University, St Petersburg 199034, Russia
Klaus-Peter Koepfli & Stephen J. O'Brien

Life Technologies Conservation Genetics Laboratory, Cheetah Conservation Fund, Otjiwarongo 9000, Namibia
Anne Schmidt-Küntzel

Global White Lion Protection Trust, Tsau Conservancy, Greater Timbavati Region, PO Box 858, Limpopo 1380, South Africa
Jason A. Turner

Cheetah Conservation Fund, Otjiwarongo 9000, Namibia
Laurie Marker

Veterinary Genetics Laboratory, Faculty of Veterinary Science, University of Pretoria, Onderstepoort 0110, South Africa
Cindy Harper & Susan M. Miller

Department of Nature Conservation, Tshwane University of Technology, Private Bag X680, Pretoria 0001, South Africa
Susan M. Miller

Ukutula Lodge & Lion Centre, NorthWest Province Brits 0250, South Africa

Wilhelm Jacobs

Institute of Environmental Sciences (CML), Leiden University, PO Box 9518, Leiden RA 2300, The Netherlands

Laura D. Bertola

Korean Bioinformation Center, Korea Research Institute of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Daejeon 305-806, Republic of Korea

Seungwoo Hwang

Department of Life Science, Sangmyung University, Seoul 110-743, Republic of Korea

Chang-Bae Kim

Department of Biology, Sungshin Women's University, Seoul 142-732, Republic of Korea

Sangtae Kim

Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, School of Biology and Biotechnology, National University of Mongolia, Ulaanbaatar 210646A, Mongolia

Damdin Bayarlkhagva

National Science Museum, Daejeon 305-705, Republic of Korea

Woon Kee Paek

CHA Cancer Institute, CHA University, Seoul 135-081, Republic of Korea

Seong-Jin Kim

Oceanographic Center, Nova Southeastern University, Ft Lauderdale, Florida 33004, USA

Stephen J. O'Brien

Department of Biology, University of Copenhagen DK-2200, Copenhagen, Denmark

Jun Wang

King Abdulaziz University 21589 Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

Jun Wang

Advanced Institutes of Convergence Technology Nano Science and Technology, Suwon 443-270, Republic of Korea
Jong Bhak

Program in Nano Science and Technology, Department of Transdisciplinary Studies, Seoul National University, Suwon 443-270, Republic of Korea
Jong Bhak

Authors

Search for Yun Sung Cho in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Li Hu in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Haolong Hou in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Hang Lee in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Jiaohui Xu in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Soowhan Kwon in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Sukhun Oh in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Hak-Min Kim in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Sungwoong Jho in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Sangsoo Kim in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Young-Ah Shin in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Byung Chul Kim in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Hyunmin Kim in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Chang-uk Kim in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Shu-Jin Luo in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Warren E. Johnson in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Klaus-Peter Koepfli in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Anne Schmidt-Küntzel in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Jason A. Turner in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Laurie Marker in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Cindy Harper in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Susan M. Miller in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Wilhelm Jacobs in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Laura D. Bertola in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Tae Hyung Kim in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Sunghoon Lee in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Qian Zhou in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Hyun-Ju Jung in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Xiao Xu in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Priyvrat Gadhvi in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Pengwei Xu in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Yingqi Xiong in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Yadan Luo in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Shengkai Pan in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Caiyun Gou in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Xiuhui Chu in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Jilin Zhang in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Sanyang Liu in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Jing He in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Ying Chen in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Linfeng Yang in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Yulan Yang in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Jiaju He in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Sha Liu in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Junyi Wang in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Chul Hong Kim in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Hwanjong Kwak in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Jong-Soo Kim in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Seungwoo Hwang in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Junsu Ko in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Chang-Bae Kim in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Sangtae Kim in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Damdin Bayarlkhagva in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Woon Kee Paek in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Seong-Jin Kim in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Stephen J. O'Brien in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Jun Wang in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Search for Jong Bhak in:
NPG journals • PubMed • Google Scholar

Contributions

Y.S.C., L.H., H.H., H.L. and J.X. contributed equally to this work. The tiger genome project was initiated by J.B., B.C.K., H.L., T.H.K., S. Lee., Sangtae K., C.-B.K., S.-J.K., W.K.P. and Jun W. Library construction, sequencing, bioinformatics data processing and analysing genetic variation data were carried out by L.H., J.X., H.K., S.J., Y.-A.S., Q.Z., H.K., C.-U.K., Y.X., Y.L., S.P., C.G., X.C., J.Z., Sanyang L., Jing H., Y.C., L.Y., Y.Y., Jiaju H., S.-J.L., Junyi .W., J.-S.K., H.-M.K., Y.S.C., T.H.K., Sangsoo K., J.B. and Jun W. Several big cat genome re-sequencing were performed by H.-J.J. and C.H.K. PCR validations were performed by H.-J.J. and Hwanjong K., S. Kwon., S.O., W.K.P., H.L. and D.B. provided samples, advice and associated information. Y.S.C., L.H., H.H., S.-J.L, W.J., K.-P.K. X.X., P.G., S.H., J.K., C.-B.K., H.L., Sangtae K., Sangsoo K., S.J.O., Jun W., and J.B. wrote, edited and revised the manuscript. A.S.-K. conducted overall project coordination, data analysis and presentation of lion DNA analysis and overseeing/planning of laboratory work done at CCF (Cheetah Conservation Fund). J.A.T. carried out the lion DNA project initiation for samples of the Tsau Conservancy and the Johannesburg Zoo. L.M. did support of the laboratory work done at CCF. C.H. performed communication and project initiation at VGL (Veterinary Genetics Laboratory) and oversaw the laboratory work done at VGL, sampling and funding. S.M.M. at VGL did planning and

execution of the laboratory work, pedigree verificationand reference samples of other populations. Wilhelm J. did pedigree information processing and sampling at the Ukutula Lodge. L.B. did the sample processing and laboratory work of Ouwehands Dierenpark and PLE171.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Corresponding authors

Correspondence to Stephen J. O'Brien or Jun Wang or Jong Bhak.

Supplementary information

PDF files

1. Supplementary Tables, Figures, and Methods

   Supplementary Figures S1-S18, Supplementary Tables S1-S59, Supplementary Methods and Supplementary References

Excel files

1. Supplementary Data 1

   Positively selected genes identified in the tiger genome

2. Supplementary Data 2

   GO categories over-represented among genes predicted to be under positive selection in tiger

Comments

By submitting a comment you agree to abide by our Terms and Community Guidelines. If you find something abusive or that does not comply with our terms or guidelines please flag it as inappropriate.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/

Nature Communications ISSN 2041-1723 (online)

SPRINGER NATURE

© 2017 Macmillan Publishers Limited, part of Springer Nature. All rights reserved.

partner of AGORA, HINARI, OARE, INASP, ORCID, CrossRef and COUNTER