# Biomarkers and Beyond: An Antimicrobial Stewardship Approach to Fighting Infections in the ICU

Melanie Smith, PharmD

Live Activity Handout

4 slides per page



## Biomarkers and Beyond: An Antimicrobial Stewardship

### Approach to Fighting Infections in the ICU

#### ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION

As clinical practitioners, we often struggle to find a balance between appropriate treatment of infectious disease states and antimicrobial stewardship to prevent antimicrobial resistance. In 2016 the Infectious Diseases Society of America published guidelines for the implementation of antimicrobial stewardship programs to help improve the appropriate use of antimicrobials by promoting the selection of the optimal antibiotic drug regimen and duration. As clinicians we often need tools to help with stewardship in treating common disease states such as pneumonia and other ICU infections. This activity will provide practitioners with knowledge of several important biomarkers and tests to help guide the use of antimicrobials and promote stewardship.

#### TARGET AUDIENCE

The target audience for this activity is **pharmacists**, **pharmacy technicians**, and **nurses** in hospital, community, and retail pharmacy settings.

#### LEARNING OBJECTIVES

After completing this activity, the **pharmacist** will be able to:

- Describe the utility and benefit of antimicrobial stewardship for optimizing treatment of infections in the critically ill.
- Identify clinically useful biomarkers and tests for antimicrobial therapy guidance for bacterial and fungal etiologies of infection.
- Recognize the limitations of these tests as they apply to specific patient populations.

After completing this activity, the **pharmacy technician** will be able to:

- Describe the utility and benefit of antimicrobial stewardship for optimizing treatment of infections in the critically ill.
- Identify clinically useful biomarkers and tests for antimicrobial therapy guidance for bacterial and fungal etiologies of infection.
- Recognize the limitations of these tests as they apply to specific patient populations.

#### ACCREDITATION



PharmCon, Inc. is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education as a provider of continuing pharmacy education.

#### Nursing

PharmCon, Inc. is approved by the California Board of Registered Nursing (Provider Number CEP 13649) and the Florida Board of Nursing (Provider Number 50-3515). Activities approved by the CA BRN and the FL BN are accepted by most State Boards of Nursing.

CE hours provided by PharmCon, Inc. meet the ANCC criteria for formally approved continuing education hours. The ACPE is listed by the AANP as an acceptable, accredited continuing education organization for applicants seeking renewal through continuing education credit. For additional information, please visit: http://www.nursecredentialing.org/RenewalReguirements.aspx

Universal Activity No.: 0798-0000-18-205-L01-P Credits: 1.25 contact hour (0.125 CEU)

Release Date: 10/17/2018 freeCE Expiration Date: 10/17/2021 ACPE Expiration Date: 10/17/2021

#### ACTIVITY TYPE

Knowledge-Based Live Webinar

### FINANCIAL SUPPORT BY

Pharmaceutical Education Consultants, Inc.





### Melanie Smith, PharmD

### Medical University of South Carolina

#### ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Dr. Melanie N. Smith received her Pharm.D. from the University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences in 2015. She then completed a PGY1 pharmacy residency at UF Health Jacksonville in Jacksonville, FL as well as a teaching certificate from the University of Florida College of Pharmacy. She finished her residency training with a PGY2 in Critical Care Pharmacy at the Medical University of South Carolina (MUSC) in Charleston, SC. She currently practices as the Surgery-Trauma ICU Clinical Pharmacy. She is actively involved in leadership both nationally and internationally with the American College of Clinical Pharmacy and the Society of Critical Care Medicine. She also enjoys research and scholarship related to critical care pharmacy, infectious diseases, and trauma. In her free time, she enjoys going to Orange Theory Fitness, playing with her dog Pippa, and cheering on the Arkansas Razorbacks and South Carolina Gamecocks.

#### FACULTY DISCLOSURE

It is the policy of PharmCon, Inc. to require the disclosure of the existence of any significant financial interest or any other relationship a faculty member or a sponsor has with the manufacturer of any commercial product(s) and/or service(s) discussed in an educational activity. **Melanie Smith** reports no actual or potential conflict of interest in relation to this activity.

Peer review of the material in this CE activity was conducted to assess and resolve potential conflict of interest. Reviewers unanimously found that the activity is fair balanced and lacks commercial bias.

Please Note: PharmCon, Inc. does not view the existence of relationships as an implication of bias or that the value of the material is decreased. The content of the activity was planned to be balanced and objective. Occasionally, faculty may express opinions that represent their own viewpoint. Participants have an implied responsibility to use the newly acquired information to enhance patient outcomes and their own professional development. The information presented in this activity is not intended as a substitute for the participant's own research, or for the participant's own professional judgement or advice for a specific problem or situation. Conclusions drawn by participants should be derived from objective analysis of scientific data presented from this activity and other unrelated sources.

Neither freeCE/PharmCon nor any content provider intends to or should be considered to be rendering medical, pharmaceutical, or other professional advice. While freeCE/PharmCon and its content providers have exercised care in providing information, no guarantee of it's accuracy, timeliness or applicability can be or is made. You assume all risks and responsibilities with respect to any decisions or advice made or given as a result of the use of the content of this activity.











ICU Infections		Ċ	¥)
<u>EPIC II – international point prevalence</u> <u>study</u> 1265 ICUs in 75 countries (83 in North America)			2)
,	North America (n=1254)	n (%)	
<ul> <li>13,796 adult patients in ICUs</li> </ul>	Total infections	607 (48.4)	
<ul> <li>51% infected</li> <li>71% receiving antibiotics</li> </ul>	Respiratory tract	345 (56.8)	
<ul> <li>16% receiving antifungals</li> </ul>	Bloodstream	157 (25.9)	
Only 70% of infected patients had	Renal/urinary	135 (22.2)	
positive cultures	Abdominal	101 (16.6)	
<ul> <li>Gram negative: 62% <ul> <li>Pseudomonas spp.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Gram positive: 47% <ul> <li>Staphylococcus aureus</li> </ul> </li> <li>Fungal: 19% <ul> <li>Candida spp.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		Vincent et al. JAMA. 2009;3	02(21):2323-9.
		<b>Š</b> free	eCE















Current Biomarkers of Infection						
Biomarker	Marker of	Increases in Relation to	Onset	Peak		
White blood cells	Host defense	Infections				
C-reactive protein (CRP)	Acute phase reaction	Infections & inflammation	4 to 6 hours	36 to 50 hours		
Procalcitonin (PCT)	Unknown (precursor of calcitonin)	Bacterial infections	2 to 6 hours	6 to 24 hours		
				Jensen JU, et al. Crit Care Med. 20	09; 37:2093-9 e <b>CE</b>	





























Ś

**Š**free**CE** 

















PCT for	Initiation or C	Cessation?	A.
2018 Meta A	nalysis		J
<ul><li>15 RCTs inclu</li><li>Primary outc</li></ul>	iding 3 for initiation, 9 for co ome: short-term (30 day) al	essation, and 3 mixed studies I cause mortality	
PCT Group	Mortality, RR (95% CI)	Antibiotic duration, days (95% Cl)	
Initiation	1.00 (0.86 – 1.15)	NR	
Cessation	0.87 (0.77 – 0.98)	-1.26 (-1.98 to -0.54) p<0.001	
Mixed	1.01 (0.80 – 1.29)	-3.10 (-6.09 to -0.11) p=0.04	
		Lam SW, et al. Crit Care Med 2	2018;4
		Š fre	≏(







# 2016 Surviving Sepsis Guidelines

We suggest that measurement of <u>PCT levels</u> <u>can be used to support</u> <u>shortening the duration</u> of antimicrobial therapy in sepsis patients (weak recommendation, low quality of evidence) We suggest that <u>PCT levels</u> can be used to support the discontinuation of empiric antibiotics in patients who initially appeared to have sepsis, but subsequently have limited clinical evidence of infection (weak recommendation, low quality of evidence) Ż

<sup>€</sup>freeC





Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus aure	us 🔫
<ul> <li>Recommend empiric coverage l risk factors</li> </ul>	based on local antibiogram and
<ul> <li>Empiric MRSA coverage         <ul> <li>Risk factors for MRSA HAP/VAP: F</li> <li>Staphylococcus aureus &gt; 10 – 20%</li> <li>High risk for mortality                 <ul> <li>Need for ventilator support in HAP</li> <li>Septic shock</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Prior IV antibiotic use within 90 days MRSA or prevalence unknown
2018 Hospital Antibiogram	Systemic isolates (n=700)
Staphylococcus aureus	Nafcillin 48%
	Kalil, et al. <i>CID</i> . 2016; 63:1-51.
	<b>∛</b> free <b>CE</b>



	PCR vs Culture			ž
	Method (# studies)	Sensitivity (95% Cl)	Specificity (95% Cl)	
	PCR (n=15)	92.5% (87.4–95.9)	97.0% (94.5–98.4)	
	CA 18-24 h (n=28)	78.3% (71.0–84.1)*	98.6% (97.7–99.1)	
	CA 48 h (n=24)	87.6% (82.1–91.6)	94.7% (91.6–96.8)	
	Disk diffusion 48 h (n=7)	86.9% (74.7–93.7)	89.7% (77.7–95.6)*	
			*Significantly lower than PCR CA = chromogenic agar PCR = polymerase chain reaction	
Luteijn JM,	et al. Clin Microbiol Infect .2011;17:146 -54.		ž	freeCE





MRSA	Nare	s for Stewa	ardship		
Type of pneumonia	No. of studies	Sensitivity, % (95%Cl)	Specificity, % (95%Cl)	PPV, %	NPV, %
All	22	70.9 (58.8 – 80.6)	90.3 (86.1 – 93.3)	44.8	96.5
CAP/HCAP	4	85.0 (59.7 – 95.6)	92.1 (81.5 – 96.9)	56.8	98.1
VAP	5	40.3 (17.4 – 68.4)	93.7 (77.1 – 98.4)	35.7	94.8
Overall negative likelihood ratio (95%CI): 0.32 (0.22 – 0.46) Parente D, et al. <i>Clin Infect Dis.</i> 2018 Jan 11. doi: 10.1093					
					🗳 fre



















DesignPatients• Prospective study of non-neutropenic ICU patients at risk for invasive fungal infection (IFI)• 95 patients with signs of sepsis and requiring at least 5 days in the ICU, without baseline IFI• Fungal surveillance cultures obtained (day 0, day 3, and twice weekly thereafter)• Compared BDG, Candida score, and Candida colonization index• 16.8% (n=14) incidence of proven IFI• At signs of sepsis blood cultures and blood samples for BDG were obtained obtained blood samples for BDG were obtained blood samples for BDG were obtained<	Early Diagnosis of Candidemia						
• Prospective study of non-neutropenic ICU patients at risk for invasive fungal infection (IFI)       • 95 patients with signs of sepsis and requiring at least 5 days in the ICU, without baseline IFI       • Fungal surveillance cultures obtained (day 0, day 3, and twice weekly thereafter)         • Compared BDG, Candida score, and Candida colonization index       • 16.8% (n=14) incidence of proven IFI       • At signs of sepsis blood cultures and blood samples for BDG were obtained         • Sensitivity (%) (95% CI)       Specificity (%) (95% CI)       PPV (%) (95% CI)       NPV (%) (95% CI)         92.9       93.7       72.2       98.7	Design	Patien	its			Methods	
Sensitivity (%) (95% Cl)         Specificity (%) (95% Cl)         PPV (%) (95% Cl)         NPV (%) (95% Cl)           92.9         93.7         72.2         98.7	rospective study of non-neutropenic LU patients at risk for invasive fungal ifection (IFI) compared BDG, <i>Candida</i> score, and <i>candida</i> colonization index	<ul> <li>95 patients with signs of requiring at least 5 day without baseline IFI</li> <li>16.8% (n=14) incidence</li> </ul>	of sepsis and ys in the ICU, e of proven IFI	•	Fungal s (day 0, c thereaft At signs blood sa BDG >8	urveillance cultures obtained lay 3, and twice weekly er) of sepsis blood cultures and amples for BDG were obtained 0 pg/mL considered positive	
92.9 93.7 72.2 98.7	Sensitivity (%) (95% Cl)	ecificity (%) (95% Cl)	PPV ( (95%	(%) CI)		NPV (%) (95% Cl)	
(66.1 - 99.8) (85.8 - 97.9) (46.5 - 90.3) (92.8 - 99.9)	92.9 (66.1 – 99.8)	93.7 85.8 – 97.9)	72.2 (46.5 –	<u>2</u> 90.3)	)	98.7 (92.8 – 99.9)	
Postero B. Crit Care						Postero B. Crit Ca	are. 2011;15(5



FUNGINOS		*
Patient groups	Results	
<ul> <li>Not colonized (n=2)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>BDG positive even when cultures were negative</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Colonized, not receiving antifungals (n=40)</li> <li>Colonized and receiving preemptive antifungals (n=18)</li> <li>Documented intraabdominal candidiasis (n=29)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Patients with severe sepsis/septic shock had higher BDG compared to patients with less severe infection <ul> <li>313 pg/mL vs 100 pg/mL (p &lt;0.007)</li> </ul> </li> <li>BDG was positive median 5 days before cultures</li> <li>BDG declined in 20/22 (91%) patients who</li> </ul>	
	responded to antifungal therapy	Tissot F. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2013 Nov 1;188(9):1100-9.
	Š f	reeCE

 FUNGINOS						
BG: β-D-Glucan, PPV: positive predi	ctive value, NPV: negative predictive v Sensitivity (%) (95% CI)	alue Specificity (%) (95% CI)	PPV (%) (95% Cl)	NPV (%) (95% CI)		
BG >/= 80 x1 at inclusion	76 (56 – 90)	59 (43 – 74)	56 (40 – 72)	78 (60 – 90)		
BG >/= 80 x1 at infection	83 (64 – 94)	40 (26 – 57)	49 (34 – 64)	77 (55 – 92)		
BG >/= 80 x2 at inclusion	66 (45 – 82)	83 (69 – 93)	73 (52 – 88)	78 (63 – 89)		
BG >/= 80 x2 at infection	65 (46 – 82)	78 (63 – 90)	68 (48 – 84)	77 (61 – 88)		
				<b>Š</b> fre	eCE	



BDG + PCT for Fungal Infections						
						2
Cutoff	Candidemia	Bacteremia	Sensitivit y(%)	Specificit y (%)	<b>PPV</b> (%)	NPV (%)
BDG ≥80 pg/mL and/OR PCT <2 ng/mL	70/73	56/93	96	60	65	95
BDG ≥80 pg/mL AND PCT <2 ng/mL	48/73	91/93	66	98	96	78
				Giacobl	oe et al. Critical (	Care (2017) 21:176
					🍣 fre	eeCE



#### 2016 IDSA Candidiasis Guidelines Ż For patients who have no clinical Empiric antifungal therapy should response to empiric antifungal therapy at 4–5 days and who do be considered in critically ill patients with risk factors for not have subsequent evidence of invasive candidiasis and no other invasive candidiasis after the start known cause of fever and should of empiric therapy or have a be based on clinical assessment of negative non-culture-based risk factors and surrogate markers diagnostic assay with a high NPV, for invasive candidiasis (strong consideration should be given to recommendation; moderate-quality stopping antifungal therapy (strong recommendation; low-quality evidence). evidence). 🗳 free CE





β-D-Glucan Conside	erations	Ż
Potential False Positive Results	Consideration	
Hemodialysis filters and surgical gauze	Contain cellulose (Should determine institution use of cellulose HD or CRRT filters)	
Bacteremia (S. pneumoniae, P. aeruginosa)	BDG in cell wall may produce mildly elevated BDG assay (average 17 pg/mL)	
IVIG, Albumin, coagulation factors, and plasma	Filtered through BDG-containing filters	
Mucositis or disruptions in gastrointestinal mucosa	Translocation of gut flora	Associates of Cap Cod Internationa
Use of certain antibiotics	Made from fungal sources. Clinical significance is debatable.	Inc. (2011 Fungitel Instructions for Warty FM. AAO 2006;50(10):3450 3453
	<b>Š</b> f	reeCE

Antibiotics co	ntaining BDG	Ĵ	Z
	Concentration of	of BDG (pg/mL)	
Antibiotic	Reconstituted vial Concentration	Maximum plasma concentration	
Colistin	4,348	<4	
Ertapenem	3,472	<32	
Cefazolin (vials)	2,054	<4	
Trimethoprim-sulbactam	1,187	<8	
Cefotaxime	560	<8	
Cefepime	425	<8	
Ampicillin-sulbactam	519	<8	
Piperacillin-tazobactam	<80	<8	Marty FM. AA 2006;50(10):3450 345
		Č.	freeCE



